

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/895,303	06/29/2001	Paul F. Crowder	G&C 122.6-US-U1	2396
22462 7	590 01/02/2004		EXAMINER	
GATES & COOPER LLP			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
	IGHES CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 10:	50	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045		~	2828	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/895,303	CROWDER ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Dung (Michael) T Nguyen	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears amination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applion a timely filed amendment whit all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper repich places the applic	oly to a cation in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) X The period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 			
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);		•
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,6-21,35-37,39,41-56,70-72,	74,76-91 and <u>105-111</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	— O .	% 2
10. Other:		Paul PAUL IP	11)
	nii e	PAUL IP PERVISORY PATENT (FYARAINER
		TECHNOLOGY CENTE	

A 1 .1. A ...

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The figure 2 in the Sarlet reference clearly shows a controller (PC = DSP) for providing separate current inputs to the SGDBR (see TITLE) front and back mirrors and a voltage monitor for monitoring a gain voltage. Whereas, the Hitachi reference teaches the numerical minima search technique for PC programming. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Hitachi's search technique in the Sarlet PC for controlling a laser.